NO. 000000

STATE OF TEXAS)	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
VS.)	186th JUDICIAL DISTRICT
JOE SMITH)	BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SET ASIDE THE INDICTMENT TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAIDCOURT:

Joe Smith moves that the indictment filed in this case be set aside by virtue of the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, Article I §§ 10 and 19 of the Texas Constitution, and Articles 1.05, 21.01, 21.02, 21.03, 21.04, and 21.11 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure for the following reasons:

I.

The indictment is defective because it does not allege to whom the credit card was presented.

II.

The indictment is defective because it does not describe the property defendant intended to obtain. *See Swabado v. State*, 597 S.W.2d 361, 363 (Tex. Crim. App. 1980); *Amaya v. State*, 551 S.W.2d 385, 387 (Tex. Crim. App. 1977). *See also* Tex. Code Crim. Proc. Ann. art. 21.09.

III.

The indictment wholly fails to allege the manner and means by which defendant used and presented a credit card. *See Miller v. State*, 647 S.W. 2d 266, 267 (Tex. Crim.

App. 1983)(indictment for criminal mischief must allege the manner and means by which defendant damaged and destroyed the property); *see also Castillo v. State*, 689 S.W. 2d 443, 449 (Tex. Crim. App. 1984)(indictment for arson must allege manner and means in which defendant started the fire); *Smith v. State*, 658 S.W. 2d 172, 173 (Tex. Crim. App. 1983)(indictment for gambling promotion must state manner and means by which defendant received bets and offers to bet); *Cruise v. State*, 587 S.W. 2d 403, 405 (Tex. Crim. App. 1979)(indictment for aggravated robbery must allege manner and means whereby defendant allegedly caused bodily injury); *Haecker v. State*, 571 S.W. 2d 920, 922 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978)(information for animal cruelty must allege manner and means by which defendant tortured the animal).

IV.

The indictment wholly fails to specify what kind of credit card was presented or used, as provided by § 32.31(a)(2) of the Texas Penal Code.

V.

Because of these defects:

- 1. The indictment does not accuse defendant of an "act or omission which, by law, is declared to be an offense", in violation of TEX. CODE CRIM. PROC. ANN. Art. 21.01.
- 2. The offense is not "set forth in plain and intelligible words", in violation of TEX. CODE CRIM. PROC. ANN. Art. 21.02(7).
- 3. The indictment does not state "[e]verything . . . which is necessary to be proved", in violation of TEX. CODE CRIM. PROC. ANN. Art. 21.03.
- 4. The indictment does not possess "[t]he certainty . . . such as will enable the

accused to plead the judgment that may be given upon it in bar of any prosecution for the same offense," in violation of TEX. CODE CRIM. PROC. ANN. art. 21.04 and the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I §§ 10 and 19 of the Texas Constitution.

5. The indictment does not "charge[] the commission of the offense in ordinary and concise language in such a manner as to enable a person of common understanding to know what is meant and with what degree of certainty that will give the defendant notice of the particular offense with which he is charged, and enable the court, on conviction, to pronounce the proper judgment . . ." in violation of TEX. CODE CRIM. PROC. ANN. art. 21.11 and the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and article I, §§ 10 and 19 of the Texas Constitution.

WHEREFORE, premises considered, the defendant prays that the Court set aside the indictment in the above-numbered and entitled cause.

Respectfully submitted:

MARK STEVENS 310 S. St. Mary's Street Tower Life Building, Suite 1920 San Antonio, TX 78205-3192 (210) 226-1433 State Bar No. 19184200 mark@markstevenslaw.com

Attorney for Defendant

CERTIFICATEOFSERVICE

I cert	ify that a copy of defendant's Motion To Set Aside The Indictment has	been
delivered to	the District Attorney's Office, Bexar County Justice Center, 300 Dolor	rosa,
San Antonio	o, Texas, on this the 1st day of April, 2018.	

MARK STEVENS	

NO. 000000

STATE OF TEXAS)	IN THE DISTRICT COURT		
VS.)	186th JUDICIAL DISTRICT		
JOE SMITH)	BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS		
		ORDER			
On this the	day of		, 2018, came on to be		
considered Defendant's Motion to Set Aside the Indictment, and said Motion is hereby					
(GRANTED)	(DENIED)				
JUDGE PRESIDING					